

What's changed in the Draft Guidance for Content of Premarket Submissions for Device Software Functions?

Author: Pooja Kartik

Date: January 26, 2022

FDA has released a draft guidance titled "<u>Content of Premarket Submissions for Device Software</u> <u>Functions</u>" as of November 4, 2021, for public comments. When final, this guidance will replace the 2005 guidance document, "<u>Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submissions for Software</u> <u>Contained in Medical Devices</u>. See public comments for the draft at <u>https://www.regulations.gov</u> under <u>docket FDA-2021-D-0775</u>.

The draft guidance reflects FDA's most current thinking on the recommended documentation that companies should include in premarket submissions for FDA's evaluation of the safety and effectiveness of device software functions. It applies to both Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) and Software in Medical Device (SiMD) cases. Further, the guidance applies to all types of premarket submissions for software devices, including:

- Premarket Notification (510(k))
- De Novo Classification Request
- Premarket Approval Application (PMA)
- Investigational Device Exemption (IDE)
- Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE)
- Biologics License Application (BLA)

This FDA draft guidance is a complete rewrite and it sets out a model of what FDA expects companies to provide in premarket submissions. It is intended to streamline the organization and the content of the software documentation and help with identifying the minimum required elements for the submission.

In an attempt to achieve international harmonization, this draft introduces three new definitions:

- <u>Device Software Function</u> Software function that meets the device definition in section 201(h) of the FD&C Act. "Software as a Medical Device (SaMD)" and "Software in a Medical Device (SiMD)" are device software functions. As noted in the FDA draft guidance, the term "function" is a distinct purpose of the product, which could be the intended use or a subset of the intended use of the product.
- Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) Software that meets the definition of a device in section 201(h) of the FD&C Act and is intended to be used for one or more medical purposes without being part of a hardware device.

 Software in a Medical Device (SiMD) - Software that meets the definition of a device in section 201(h) of the FD&C Act, and is used to control a hardware device or is necessary for a hardware device to achieve its intended use. Typically, SiMD is embedded within or is part of a hardware device.

The key change for documentation to be submitted is as follows. The 2005 FDA guidance has three categories—*Minor, Moderate* and *Major*—which aid in determining the level of documentation for submission; while the new FDA draft guidance has two categories—*Basic* and *Enhanced*.

In the 2005 FDA guidance, the Level Of Concern assessment starts at *Major* and through the process of elimination it reaches *Minor*. Whereas, in the new draft guidance, *Basic* documentation is expected by default for the SaMD or SiMD, and a further assessment needs to be conducted to determine the need for *Enhanced* documentation. The draft guidance provides four risk-based criteria to aid in this assessment. Table 1, 2 and 3 provide side by side view of the buckets and type of documentation required subsequently.

2005 Guidance (Level Of Concern)	2021 Draft Guidance
Major: If a failure or latent flaw could directly	Enhanced: should be provided for any premarket
result in death or serious injury to the patient or	submission that includes device software
operator. The level of concern is also Major if a	functions, where any of the following factors
failure or latent flaw could indirectly result in	apply:
death or serious injury of the patient or operator	1. The device is a constituent part of a
through incorrect or delayed information or	combination product
through the action of a care provider.	2. The device is (a) intended to test blood
	donations for transfusion-transmitted
	infections; or (b) used to determine
	donor and recipient compatibility; or (c) a
	Blood Establishment Computer Software.
	3. The device is classified as class III.
	4. A failure or latent flaw of the device
	software function(s) could present a
	probable risk of death or serious, either
	to a patient, user of the device, or others
	in the environment of use. These risk(s)
	should be assessed prior to
	implementation of risk control measures.
	You should consider the risk(s) in the
	context of the device's intended use; the
	direct and indirect impacts to safety,
	treatment, and/or diagnosis; and other
	relevant considerations.
ivioderate : It a failure or latent design flaw could	Basic: Basic Documentation should be provided
airectly result in minor injury to the patient or	for any premarket submission that includes
operator. The level of concern is also Moderate if	Desumentation does not each
minor injury to the patient or operator through	Documentation does not apply.

Table 1: Risk Based Criteria and Documentation Decision Comparison

2005 Guidance (Level Of Concern)	2021 Draft Guidance
incorrect or delayed information or through the	
action of a care provider.	
Minor: If failures or latent design flaws are	
unlikely to cause any injury to the patient or	
operator.	

Table 2:	Comparison	between	2005-Guidance	Minor/Mode	erate with	2021-Draft Basic
----------	------------	---------	---------------	------------	------------	------------------

Documentation Level	Minor (2005 Guidance)	Moderate (2005 Guidance)	Basic (2021 Draft Guidance)
Documentation Level Evaluation (2005 Guidance called this Level of Concern)	A statement indicating the Level of Concern and a description of the rationale for that level.		A statement indicating the appropriate Documentation Level and a description of the rationale for that level.
Software Description	A summary overview of the features and software operating environment.		Software description, including overview of operationally significant software features, analyses, inputs, and outputs.
System and Software Architecture Design Chart	None	Detailed depiction of functional units and software modules. May include state diagrams as well as flow charts.	Detailed diagrams of the modules, layers, and interfaces that comprise the device, their relationships, the data inputs/outputs and flow of data, and how users or external products (including IT infrastructure and peripherals) interact with the system and software.
Risk Management File (2005 Guidance – Device Hazard Analysis)	Tabular description of software hazards, inclu assessment and mitiga	identified hardware and uding severity tions.	Risk management plan, risk assessment demonstrating that risks have been appropriately mitigated, and risk management report.
Software Requirements Specification	Summary of functional requirements from SRS.	The complete SRS document.	The complete documentation, describing the needs or expectations for a system or software, presented in an organized format and with sufficient information to understand the traceability of the information with respect to the other software documentation elements (e.g., risk management file.

Documentation	Minor (2005	Moderate (2005	Basic (2021 Draft Guidance)
Level	Guidance)	Guidance)	
			software design specification, system and software architecture design chart, software testing as part of verification and validation).
Software Design	None	Software design	None.
Specification		specification document.	
Software Development and Maintenance Practices	None	Summary of software lifecycle development plan including a summary of the configuration management and maintenance activities.	A Declaration of Conformity to IEC 62304 OR a summary of the life cycle development plan and a summary of configuration management and maintenance activities
Traceability	Traceability among rec	uirements.	Included as part of Software
Analysis	specifications, identifie mitigations, and Verific testing.	ed hazards and cation and Validation	Requirements Specification.
Software Testing	Software functional	Description of V&V	A summary description of the
as Part of	test plan, pass/fail	activities at the unit,	testing activities at the unit,
Verification and	criteria, and results.	integration, and	integration and system levels.
Validation		system level. System	System level test protocol
		level test protocol,	including expected results,
		including pass/fail	observed results, pass/fail
		criteria, and tests	determination, and system
Povision Loval	Povision history log in	results.	Povision history tobulating the
History	number and date.		major changes to the software during the development cycle, including date, version number, a brief description of the changes relative to the previous version, and indication of the version on which testing was performed.
Unresolved	None.	List of remaining	List of remaining software
Anomalies (e.g.,		software anomalies,	anomalies (e.g., bugs, defects)
Bugs, Defects, or		annotated with an	annotated with an
Errors)		explanation of the	explanation of the impact on
		impact on safety or	satety or effectiveness,
		effectiveness,	including operator usage and
		including operator	numan factors, workarounds,
			and timetrame for correction.

Documentation	Minor (2005	Moderate (2005	Basic (2021 Draft Guidance)
Level	Guidance)	Guidance)	
		usage and human factors.	

Table 3: Comparison between 2005 Guidance-Major with 2021 Draft-Enhanced

Documentation Level	Major (2005 Guidance)	Enhanced (2021 Draft Guidance)
Documentation Level Evaluation (2005 Guidance called this Level of Concern)	A statement indicating the Level of Concern and a description of the rationale for that level.	A statement indicating the appropriate Documentation Level and a description of the rationale for that level.
Software Description	A summary overview of the features and software operating environment.	Software description, including overview of operationally significant software features, analyses, inputs, and outputs.
System and Software Architecture Design Chart	Detailed depiction of functional units and software modules. May include state diagrams as well as flow charts.	Detailed diagrams of the modules, layers, and interfaces that comprise the device, their relationships, the data inputs/outputs and flow of data, and how users or external products (including IT infrastructure and peripherals) interact with the system and software.
Risk Management File (2005 Guidance – Device Hazard Analysis)	Tabular description of identified hardware and software hazards, including severity assessment and mitigations.	Risk management plan, risk assessment demonstrating that risks have been appropriately mitigated, and risk management report.
Software Requirements Specification	The complete SRS document.	The complete documentation, describing the needs or expectations for a system or software, presented in an organized format and with sufficient information to understand the traceability of the information with respect to the other software documentation elements (e.g., risk management file, software design specification, system and software architecture design chart, software testing as part of verification and validation).
Software Design Specification	Software design specification document.	The complete documentation, including sufficient information

Documentation Level	Major (2005 Guidance)	Enhanced (2021 Draft Guidance)
		that would allow FDA to
		understand the technical design
		details of how the software
		functions, how the software design
		completely and correctly
		implements all the requirements of
		the SRS and how the software
		design traces to the SRS in terms of
		intended use, functionality, safety,
		and effectiveness.
Software Development and	Summary of software life	A Declaration of Conformity to IEC
Maintenance Practices	cycle development plan.	62304
	Annotated list of control	OR
	documents generated during	Basic Documentation Level PLUS
	development process.	complete configuration
	Include the configuration	management and maintenance
	management and	plan document(s)
	maintenance plan	
	documents.	
Traceability Analysis	Traceability among	Included as part of Software
	requirements, specifications,	Requirements Specification.
	identified hazards and	
	mitigations, and Verification	
	and Validation testing.	
Software Testing as Part of	Description of V&V activities	Basic Documentation Level PLUS
Verification and Validation	at the unit, integration, and	unit and integration level test
	system level. Unit,	protocols including expected
	integration and system level	results, observed results, pass/fail
	test protocol, including	determination, and unit and
	pass/fail criteria, test report,	integration level test reports.
	summary, and test results.	
Revision Level History	Revision history log,	Revision history tabulating the
	including release version	major changes to the software
	number and date.	during the development cycle,
		including date, version number, a
		brief description of the changes
		relative to the previous version,
		and indication of the version on
		which testing was performed
Unresolved Anomalies (e.g.,	List of remaining software	List of remaining software
Bugs, Defects, or Errors)	anomalies, annotated with	anomalies (e.g., bugs, defects)
	an explanation of the	annotated with an explanation of
	impact on safety or	the impact on safety or
	effectiveness, including	effectiveness, including operator
	operator usage and human	usage and human factors, work-
	factors.	

Documentation Level	Major (2005 Guidance)	Enhanced (2021 Draft Guidance)
		arounds, and timeframe for
		correction

Essentially, the new draft guidance eliminates the *Minor* level of concern, and requires much *more* information to be submitted at the *Moderate* level of concern with the exception of Software Requirements Document, and Software Design Document. The documentation is comparable in some cases between *Major* level of concern and the *Enhanced* category with many more details in other cases.

Following are the key take-aways for further assessments by organizations:

- 1. Stand Alone Software Risk Management for SaMD or Software Risk as part of the Device Risk Management. Note that, documentation will include a lot more than just the risk assessment.
- 2. Off the Shelf Software documentation
- 3. Cybersecurity and Risk Management
- 4. Traceability expands to all levels of software documentation and risk management file
- 5. Application of "probable" term in determining the risk
- 6. Software Unresolved Anomalies and categorization per ANSI/AAMI SW91.
- 7. The specific use of IEC 62304 considering the similarities (process focused elements) and differences (actual deliverables) between the intents and information covered in the new draft guidance and IEC 62304.

In conclusion, the new guidance would require organizations to perform a high-level assessment in order to put a proactive response plan in place. These incipient changes may require updates to procedures and may impact product development timelines. The latter is true especially for products where the existing plan call for submitting the software at a *Minor* Level of Concern.

About Triple Ring Technologies

Triple Ring Technologies is a co-development company headquartered in Silicon Valley, with offices in Boston, Toronto, and Copenhagen. They partner with clients in medtech, life sciences, and sustainability & the environment to create new technologies, launch innovative projects, and start new ventures. Their capabilities span early R&D, product development, manufacturing, regulatory approval, market access, strategic investment, and incubation. For more information, please visit www.tripleringtech.com.